

A bounded H^∞ -calculus for the hydrostatic Stokes operator on L^p -spaces and applications

Based on joint work with Yoshikazu Giga, Matthias Hieber, Amru Hussein and Takahito Kashiwabara

Mathis Gries

TU Darmstadt
IRTG 1529

Fluids under Pressure, Summer School 2016, Prague
August 31

Recapping the operator

Primitive equations on $\Omega = G \times (0, h)$, $G = (0, 1)^2$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t v - \Delta v + (v \cdot \nabla_H + w \partial_z)v + \nabla_H \pi = f, & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ \partial_z \pi = 0, & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ \operatorname{div}_H v + \partial_z w = 0, & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ v(0) = v_0, & \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

with boundary conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_z v, w = 0, & z = h \\ v, w = 0, & z = 0 \\ v, w, \pi \text{ periodic}, & x, y \in \{0, 1\} \end{array} \right.$$

Recapping the operator

Hydrostatic Stokes equation on $\Omega = G \times (0, h)$, $G = (0, 1)^2$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \mathbf{v} - \Delta \mathbf{v} + \nabla_H \pi & = \mathbf{f}, & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ \partial_z \pi & = 0, & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ \operatorname{div}_H \int_0^h \mathbf{v}(\cdot, z) \, dz & = 0, & G \times (0, T) \\ \mathbf{v}(0) & = \mathbf{v}_0, & \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

with boundary conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_z \mathbf{v} = 0, & z = h \\ \mathbf{v} = 0, & z = 0 \\ \mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}, \pi \text{ periodic}, & x, y \in \{0, 1\} \end{array} \right.$$

Recapping the operator

Hydrostatic stokes operator is given by

$$D(A_p) = \{v \in W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\Omega)^2 : \partial_z v|_{z=h} = 0, v|_{z=0} = 0\} \cap L_{\sigma}^p(\Omega),$$

$$A_p v = \Delta v + \frac{1}{h}(1 - Q_p)(\partial_z v|_{z=0}),$$

Recapping the operator

Hydrostatic stokes operator is given by

$$D(A_p) = \{v \in W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\Omega)^2 : \partial_z v|_{z=h} = 0, v|_{z=0} = 0\} \cap L_{\sigma}^p(\Omega),$$

$$A_p v = \Delta v + \frac{1}{h}(1 - Q_p)(\partial_z v|_{z=0}),$$

where

$$L_{\sigma}^p(\Omega) := \{v \in C_{\text{per}}^{\infty}(\Omega)^2 : \operatorname{div}_H \int_0^h v(\cdot, z) dz = 0\}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^p}},$$

$$Q_p : L^p(G)^2 \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^p(G)$$

2D Helmholtz projection with periodic boundary conditions

What we know

Initial results: Hieber and Kashiwabara (2015)

On $L^p_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$

- ▶ $-A_p$ is sectorial of angle 0
- ▶ A_p generates bounded analytic semigroup
- ▶ $(A_p)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded

Proof by solving the resolvent problem

What's new

New results: Giga, Gries, Hieber, Hussein, Kashiwabara (2016)

Main result:

- ▶ $(\mathcal{R}\text{-})$ bounded H^∞ -calculus of angle 0

Corollaries

- ▶ Maximal $L^q - L^p$ regularity
- ▶ Bounded imaginary powers, descriptions for $D((-A_p)^\theta)$

Decay estimates

- ▶ $L^p - L^q$ -smoothing

Proof by perturbation argument

The core observation

Study the perturbation term:

$$B_p : D(B_p) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)^2, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{h}(1 - Q_p)(\partial_z v|_{z=0}),$$
$$D(B_p) := H^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\delta,p}(\Omega)^2, \quad \delta \in (0, 1 - 1/p)$$

The core observation

Study the perturbation term:

$$B_\rho : D(B_\rho) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)^2, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{h}(1 - Q_\rho)(\partial_z v|_{z=0}),$$

$$D(B_\rho) := H^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\delta,p}(\Omega)^2, \quad \delta \in (0, 1 - 1/p)$$

Bounded by trace theorem with estimate

$$\|B_\rho v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} \leq C \|(-\Delta_\rho)^{1-\delta} v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}, \quad v \in D(\Delta_\rho)$$

where $A_\rho = \Delta_\rho + B_\rho|_{L^p_\sigma(\Omega)}$

The core observation

Study the perturbation term:

$$B_\rho : D(B_\rho) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)^2, \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{h}(1 - Q_\rho)(\partial_z v|_{z=0}),$$

$$D(B_\rho) := H^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\delta,p}(\Omega)^2, \quad \delta \in (0, 1 - 1/p)$$

Bounded by trace theorem with estimate

$$\|B_\rho v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2} \leq C \|(-\Delta_\rho)^{1-\delta} v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}, \quad v \in D(\Delta_\rho)$$

where $A_\rho = \Delta_\rho + B_\rho|_{L^p_\sigma(\Omega)}$

By perturbation theory

Since A_ρ has bounded inverse, the H^∞ -calculus of angle 0 is inherited from the Laplace operator

Corollary 1: Maximal $L^q - L^p$ regularity

Given $T \in (0, \infty]$, $J := (0, T)$ and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - A_p v &= f \\ v(0) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$v \in W^{1,q}(J; L^p_\sigma(\Omega)) \cap L^q(J; D(A_p))$$

if and only if $f \in L^q(J; L^p_\sigma(\Omega))$.

Corollary 1: Maximal $L^q - L^p$ regularity

Given $T \in (0, \infty]$, $J := (0, T)$ and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - A_p v &= f \\ v(0) &= v_0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$v \in W^{1,q}(J; L^p_{\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap L^q(J; D(A_p))$$

if and only if $f \in L^q(J; L^p_{\sigma}(\Omega))$ and $v_0 \in (L^p_{\sigma}(\Omega), D(A_p))_{1-\frac{1}{q}, q}$.

Corollary 1: Maximal $L^q - L^p$ regularity

Initial values by real interpolation

Admissible initial values for maximal $L^q - L^p$ regularity

$$v_0 \in L^p_\sigma(\Omega) \cap B^{2-\frac{2}{q}}_{p,q,\text{per}}(\Omega)^2$$

are characterised by compatibility conditions

$$\text{no condition} \quad \text{if} \quad 2 - \frac{2}{q} < \frac{1}{p},$$

$$v_0|_{z=0} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 2 - \frac{2}{q} > \frac{1}{p},$$

$$\partial_z v_0|_{z=h} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 2 - \frac{2}{q} > 1 + \frac{1}{p},$$

$$? \quad \text{if} \quad 2 - \frac{2}{q} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right\}.$$

Corollary 2: Bounded imaginary powers

Domains by complex interpolation

Functions $v \in D((-A_\rho)^\theta) = [L_\sigma^\rho(\Omega), D(A_\rho)]_\theta$ are characterised by regularity

$$v \in L_\sigma^\rho(\Omega) \cap H_{\text{per}}^{2\theta, \rho}(\Omega)^2, \quad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

and boundary conditions

$$\text{no condition} \quad \text{if} \quad 2\theta < \frac{1}{\rho},$$

$$v|_{z=0} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 2\theta > \frac{1}{\rho},$$

$$\partial_z v|_{z=h} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 2\theta > 1 + \frac{1}{\rho},$$

$$? \quad \text{if} \quad 2\theta \in \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho}, 1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \right\}.$$

Decay Estimates: $L^p - L^q$ -smoothing

For $p \leq q$ there exists $C, \beta > 0$ such that for $t > 0$

$$\|e^{tA_p} P_p f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

$$\|\nabla e^{tA_p} P_p f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

$$\|e^{tA_p} P_p \operatorname{div} f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

Decay Estimates: $L^p - L^q$ -smoothing

For $p \leq q$ there exists $C, \beta > 0$ such that for $t > 0$

$$\|e^{tA_p} P_p f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

$$\|\nabla e^{tA_p} P_p f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

$$\|e^{tA_p} P_p \operatorname{div} f\|_{L^q(\Omega)^2} \leq C t^{-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta t} \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)^2}$$

Proof analogous to Stokes-case:

$$H^{2\theta, p}(\Omega)^2 \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)^2, \quad \theta = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)$$

+ interpolation, analyticity of semigroup

Open questions

What if we consider

- ▶ different boundary conditions?

Open questions

What if we consider

- ▶ different boundary conditions?
- ▶ differently shaped domains?

Open questions

What if we consider

- ▶ different boundary conditions?
- ▶ differently shaped domains?
- ▶ a different model for the primitive equations?

Open questions

What if we consider

- ▶ different boundary conditions?
- ▶ differently shaped domains?
- ▶ a different model for the primitive equations?
- ▶ a different approach to their well-posedness?

Thank you for your attention!