

# On the relation between distributional and Leray-Hopf solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations

Giovanni P. Galdi

*Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, USA*

*galdi@pitt.edu*

## Abstract

Consider the three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v &= \Delta v - \nabla p \\ \operatorname{div} v &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \quad (1)$$
$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

It is well known that for any  $v_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$  one can construct a global Leray-Hopf solution to (1), namely, a function  $v$  in the  $\mathcal{LH}$ -class defined by

$$v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{all } T > 0,$$

solving (1) in a distributional sense. It is likewise well known that, to date, in order to ensure further relevant properties for such a solution, one has to assume *in addition* that  $v$  satisfies some *extra requirements*. In particular, for a given Leray-Hopf solution  $v$ , the validity of the energy equality is ensured if also  $v \in L^{4,4}$  (J.-P.Lions), while uniqueness and regularity are secured if either  $v \in L^{\frac{2s}{s-3}, s}$ ,  $s > 3$ , or  $v \in C([0, T]; L^3)$  (Prodi-Serrin-Ladyzhenskaya).

Objective of this talk is to show that a generic distributional solution corresponding to the data  $v_0$  and satisfying any of these conditions must, in fact, be in the  $\mathcal{LH}$ -class, so that the *assumption* in the above results that  $v$  is in such a class becomes *redundant*.

**Keywords:** Navier–Stokes equations, Leray-Hopf solution, distributional solution.